



International

**Journal of Human Sciences**

ISSN:2458-9489

Volume 14 Issue 4 Year: 2017

## A study on curettage pain and hemodynamic parameters of curettage patients: Ketamine or Tramadol? <sup>1</sup>

**Hayriye Alp<sup>2</sup>****Sevtap Darçın<sup>3</sup>**

### Abstract

**Aim:** The aim of this study was to compare ketamin-propofol and tramadol propofol combination in patients undergoing uterine dilatation and curettage. Total propofol requirement, pain scoring and recovery period of duration.

**Methods:** One Hundred Women undergoing uterine dilatation and curettage were screened retrospectively after ethical comitee approval. Baseline anesthesia was maintained with 1 mg/kg propofol, and the patients were randomly allocated to receive 0.5 mg/kg ketamine (Group 1, n=50) or 1 mg/kg tramadol (Group 2, n=50) thereafter. Hemodynamic variables, pain, sedation, Aldrete recovery score and side effects were recorded.

**Results:** Postoperative heart rate and systolic arterial pressure on beginning were significantly higher in Group 1 compared with Group 2 (  $p<0.05$ ). No significant difference was noticed compared other results between the groups.

**Conclusion:** Tramadol might be a better alternative drug rather than ketamine due to better hemodynamic stability and lower respiratory depression rate were seen in patents with it.

**Keywords:** ketamine; tramadol; pain; uterine dilatation; curettage

### Introduction

Revision curettage is a widespread ambulatory treatment in obstetrics and gynecology. After treatment, patients are sent home within same day of the treatment. During treatment may be severe pain. Probe curettage performed for diagnosis and treatment comprises the majority of minor gynecological interventions (1). Thus, the drugs are introduced need to provide necessary anesthetic depth and to create rapid, sufficient anesthesia, and recovery period has to be short (2,3). Revision curettage is a normal procedure widely used for first-trimester pregnancy terminations.

<sup>1</sup> ESRA 2016, The pain comparison in women uterine dilatation and curettage operations, Netherland, September, 2016.

<sup>2</sup> Necmettin Erbakan University, hayriyebalp@yahoo.com

<sup>3</sup> Antalya Hospital, Anesthesiology and Reanimation, sevtapd@hotmail.com

## Purpose

Aim from the study is comparison with hemodynamics, total consumption of propofol, pain scores during procedure, recovery period following procedure of ketamine and tramadol that are used in patients that dilatation and curettage have been performed to. The study was put through to determine whether the merged application of tramadol (1 µg/kg) and propofol or ketamin(0.5mg/kg) and propofol would Show like riches in supplying enough analgesia, and sufferer and operator pleasure, following in a comparable rescue edge

## Method and material

This is a retrospective study that carry out in Dr. Faruk Sükan Doğumevi ethics was obtained from ethics committee(2015/186) approval. 100 patients aged of 18-60 which have been applied uterine curettage and dilatation between January-July 2014 has been involved in the study. Patients were at group 1-2 according to classification of American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA). Those with severe hepatic, renal and cardiovascular disease and with uncontrolled hypertension, disease related with central nervous system and musculoskeletal system, those using calcium-channel blockers, anticoagulants, antidepressants and anti-psychotics, those that have history of neurological disease or cardiac operation, those with alcohol or drug addiction and those who are morbid-obese (body mass index >30) have been excluded from the study.

Data of patients involved have been recorded by scanning of patient files and anesthesia follow-up forms. Patients have been investigated as two different groups. Standard heart beat rate, monitorization of blood pressure and peripheral oxygen saturation have been applied to all patients. Intravenous (IV) vascular access has been established, and 0.9% NaCl infusion with rate of 10 ml/kg /h has been started. Patients have been followed-up with face mask as they are with spontaneous breathing and given 3 lt/min oxygen. For all patients, propofol with dose of 1 mg/kg IV has been introduced for induction. Patients which have been applied 0.5 mg/kg IV of ketamin before beginning of operation have been called as Group 1 and those who have been applied 1.1 mg/kg IV of tramadol have been called as Group 2. During operation 0.5-1 mg/kg IV of propofol have been introduced in case of need. During operation, heart beat rate, peripheral oxygen saturation, pain score, values of sedation score and aldrete scores in which anesthesia recovery period is recorded have been compared. In case which analgesic effect is insufficient, additional analgesia has been introduced. Heart beat rate (HBR), systolic artery pressure (SAP), diastolic artery pressure (DAP), mean arterial pressure (MAP) and oxygen saturation values (SpO<sub>2</sub>) have been recorded before anesthetic induction, after induction and during anesthesia.

Statistical analyses were done using SPSS 15.0 In statistical evaluation; student t-test has been drawing on demographical output. Also for pain score, sedation score, aldrete recovery score and total propofol consumption, and student t-test has been used. Data has been recorded as mean +/- standard deviation.  $P < 0.05$  has been acknowledged as remarkable.

The place and time of the study; Konya, January –July 2014

Population and sample selection ; 100 patients aged of 18-60 which have been applied uterine curettage and dilatation

Type of study; retrospective

The variables; during operation, heart beat rate, peripheral oxygen saturation, pain score, values of sedation score and aldrete scores

Data collection

Data collection method; scanning of patient files and anesthesia follow-up forms.

Data collection tools; files and forms

Data collection time; post-operative

Limitations of the study; sampling is small and unique type operation

The generalizability of the study; other type operations

Research ethics; Selçuk University from ethics committee (2015/186) approval.

Evaluation of data; SPSS 15.0

**Table 1.** Demographical Data and clinical data between groups;

	Group 1	Group 2	p
Age(year)	36.3±9.7	39.2±11.7	0.18
Weight (kg)	70.1±11.7	71.7±13.9	0.80
Height (cm)	159.1±4.4	158.4±5.2	0.69
ASA (I-II) (n)	33-17	30-20	
Duration of anesthesia (min)	7.5±1.7	7.3±2.6	0.72
Duration of operation (min)	5.3±1.6	5.7±2.6	0.43
Additional propofol (mg)	38.6±8.5	40.0±13.1	0.90
Additional propofol (n)	8	9	
Total Propofol (mg)	70.1±11.7	71.7±13.9	0.80

Data has been described as mean +/- standard deviation.  $P < 0.05$  has been accepted as significant.

ASA: American society of anesthesiology.

**Table 2.** Hemodynamic parameters

	Group 1	Group 2	p
<b>Heart Beat Rate</b>			
Basal	92.0±14.1	91.1±18.8	0.90
0 <sup>th</sup> min	87.6±14.1	90.6±16.7	0.37
5 <sup>th</sup> min	81.6±13.5	84.8±17.5	0.40
10 <sup>th</sup> min	81.7±26.8	90.5±20.0	0.26
End of op.	78.3±11.7	83.8±15.0	0.03*
<b>SAP</b>			
Basal	131.6±14.6	136.7±20.9	0.26
0 <sup>th</sup> min	123.0±13.2	132.7±22.4	0.04*
5 <sup>th</sup> min	127.3±13.8	133.3±20.1	0.21
10 <sup>th</sup> min	118.5±8.3	132.3±16.2	0.05
End of op.	130.0±15.9	131.4±17.7	0.74
<b>DAP</b>			
Basal	79.3±10.5	81.3±12.4	0.42
0 <sup>th</sup> min	74.9±13.0	79.1±13.0	0.08
5 <sup>th</sup> min	79.6±10.0	82.1±13.0	0.39
10 <sup>th</sup> min	70.0±15.9	81.2±13.5	0.14
End of op.	81.2±12.0	80.4±14.3	0.91
<b>SpO<sub>2</sub></b>			
Basal	98.4±1.3	97.9±3.3	0.64
0 <sup>th</sup> min	98.2±1.6	98.4±1.1	0.95
5 <sup>th</sup> min	98.7±1.3	98.8±0.7	0.79
10 <sup>th</sup> min	98.7±0.5	98.3±1.6	0.92
End of op.	98.2±1.5	98.4±1.3	0.39

Data has been described as mean +/- standard deviation. P<0.05 has been accepted as significant.

SAP: systolic artery pressure, DAP: diastolic artery pressure, SpO<sub>2</sub>: Oxygen saturation.

**Table 3.** Sedation score, aldrete score:

	Group 1	Group 2	p
<b>Sedation Score</b>			
0 <sup>th</sup> min	3.5±1.4	1.7±1.1	SI
5 <sup>th</sup> min	3.1±1.2	1.7±0.8	SI
10 <sup>th</sup> min	1.7±0.5	1.3±0.5	SI
End of op.	2.7±1.1	1.2±1.0	SI
<b>Pain Score</b>			
0 <sup>th</sup> min	0.8±1.3	0.9±1.3	SI
5 <sup>th</sup> min	1.0±1.2	0.7±0.8	SI
10 <sup>th</sup> min	1.0±1.4	0.7±0.5	SI
End of op.	0.0±0.2	0.3±0.7	SI

Aldrete score: those with reaching time for 10 to be less than 5 min.	50	50	SI
Complication: nausea-vomiting	0	0	SI

Data has been described as mean +/- standard deviation. SI: Statistically insignificant.

## Discussion

In this study, we have compared analgesic effects of ketamine and tramadol in dilatation and curettage procedures. This study, demonstrates that 1mg/kg tramadol safe and effective intravenous poor opioid analgesic for the treatment of postoperative pain management in patients recovering from dilatation curettage procedure. As well as analgesic effects of both agents are similar, in the group at which tramadol has been used, the hemodynamics was more stable and respiratory depression was found to be less. Also in our study, heart beat rate following the operation significantly decreased more in group of Ketamine, as to support this situation. Similarly systolic artery pressure at the time of induction of both drugs was significantly less in group of Ketamine. All of these have been showing that tramadol has better results in terms of hemodynamic stability in day-case anesthesia.

Propofol is the most popular intravenous sedative-hypnotic agent of the group of 2,6-diisopropophenol. Being of beginning and ending of its effect rapidly is important especially in painful short interventions such as dilatation and curettage procedures (7). However propofol provides ideal sedation, in painful procedures it requires additional analgesics. These are agents such as Fentanyl, alfentanyl, remifentanyl, nalbupine, tramadol and ketamine (8,9). It is important for the chosen analgesic agent not to cause respiratory depression in short-lasting procedures, to have shorter recovery period and to provide a stable hemodynamics. The reason for us to choose tramadol and ketamine in our study is our wish to investigate such effects of the agents in patients that are being sedatized.

Tramadol is a centrally active synthetic agent. It takes its effect via two different synergistic mechanisms. One of these is weak opioids agonistic effect by selectively influencing mü – receptors, and other one is by re-uptake inhibition of noradrenalin and serotonin. Actually as tramadol makes less sedation and is unable to suppress respiration sufficiently as in the literature, this creates a favorable situation during short-lasting surgical procedures. In addition to this, side effects of this agent such as nausea and vomiting are mentioned(10). In the study conducted by Taş et.al (11), propofol-fentanyl and propofol-tramadol were compared in patients that had dilatation

and curettage. Researchers have found similar analgesic features, but they concluded that tramadol had less effect on respiration and so it could be safe. Also in our study, sufficient anesthesia was achieved with tramadol and no side effect has been observed. In patients that we used tramadol, sedation level to be superficial and a more stable hemodynamics show accordance with the literature. However, we haven't encountered with a side effect such as nausea or vomiting. In this situation may occur with contribution of the dose of used drug to be low.

Ketamine is an antagonist of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. Functionally, it provides dissociative anesthesia by dissociation of thalamus from limbic. It has amnesic and analgesic effects. It does not have serious side effects effecting respiratory and cardiovascular functions (7).

For the patient who will undergo for dilatation and curettage, not feeling pain, not to remember, not to have pain following procedure are desired situations. Day-case sedoanalgesia is being chosen because it also provides more comfortable working by physician who will conduct the procedure and minimalizes the movements of patient. In the study conducted by Tong et.al, general anesthesia and local anesthesia during hysteroscopy and dilatation-curettage were compared, patients and physicians chose the general anesthesia. Painlessness both during and after procedure, the physician to work comfortably have made day-care sedoanalgesia more commonly used (10). Therefore, we have preferred dilatation and curettage procedure under sedation. For this purpose, propofol, ketamine, opioids, tramadol and non-steroid analgesics have been used for sedation (7).

Opioids that are used for analgesia are not common in day-case procedures because it causes respiratory depression, itching, and has long-lasting recovery period (11). In this study, we compared tramadol and ketamine which are similar in terms of analgesic efficacy and both have short recovery periods. Because the amount of propofol used influences recovery and return to daily life following day-case anesthesia, we have investigated effects of both agents on total propofol need, on pain and recovery scores. The reason of us in preferring these agents is that for day-case anesthesia procedures the agents with rapid action times and least respiratory effects. In our study, as being accordant with the literature, no hemodynamic instability has been observed in any of the patients, no respiratory depression that needed to be intervened has been experienced. In this situation may occur with contribution of the dose of used drug to be low.

## Conclusions and recommendations

Ketamin and tramadol are similar in terms of analgesic efficacy and total consumption of propofol. For day-case anesthesia procedures, when patient safety is considered, tramadol can be preferred because of its minimal effect on hemodynamic parameters, rapid recovery period and less side effects. Studies with larger patient serials are necessary for more stable opinions regarding this issue.

## References

- 1-Uğur B, Şen S, Oğurlu M, Odabaşı et all. On. Probe Curettage applying the comparison of remifentanyl-propofol and fentanyl-propofol combination. *Turkey Clinics J Gynecol Obst* 2007;17:30-36
- 2-Morgan G E, Mikhail M S, Murray M J. Outpatient anesthesia. In, Morgan GE , Mikhail MS, Murray MJ, editors. *Clinical Anesthesiology*. 3th ed. California, McGraw-Hill-Appleton and Lange, 2002;p:882-8
- 3-Castillo T, Avellanal M, Garcia de Lucas E. Bolus application of remifentanil with propofol for dilatation and curettage. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2004;21:408-411
- 4-Moerman A T, Fourbert L A, Herregods L L, etal. Propofol versus remifentanil for monitored anesthesia care during colonoscopy. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2003;20:461-466
- 5-Lepri A, Sia S, Catinelli S, Casali R, Novelli G. Patient-controlled analgesia with tramadol versus tramadol plus ketorolac. *Minevra Anesthesia*. 2006;72:59-67
- 6-Siddiqui K M, Chohan U. Tramadol versus nalbuphine in total intravenous anesthesia for dilatation and evacuation. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2007;57:67-70
- 7-Toledano R D, Kodali BS, Camann WR. Anesthesia drugs in the obstetric and gynecologic practice. *Rev Obstet Gynecol* 2009;2:93-100
- 8-Küçük M, Uğur B, Oğurlu M. Comparing the administration of fentanyl 1mcg/kg and fentanyl 0.5 mcg/kg in dilatation and curettage procedures. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2012;28:736-739
- 9-Sahin L, Sahin M, Aktaş O, Kilic E, Mandollu E. Comparision of propofol/ketamine versus propofol/alfentanil for dilatation and currettage. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol* 2012;39:72-75
- 10-Tong S, Zachariah R, Chua B G and Vollenhoven B. Hysteroscopy under general anesthesia, a near painless procedure. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2003;43:344-345
- 11-Tas A, Mistanoglu V, Darcin S, Kececioğlu M. Tramadol versus fentayl during propofol-based deep sedation for uterine dilatation and curettage: A prospective study. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Research*. 2013;40:749-753
- 12-İskender A, Erbaş M, Karagöz İ. The Comparison of Short- term sedo-analgesia in various gynecological procedures *Kocaeli Medical Journal*.2012;3:5-10
- 13-Şahin A S, Gülleroğlu A, Toker M K, et all. Comparison of paracetamol and fentanyl for pain relief during and after suction termination *Saudi Med J*. 2016 May;37(5):527-32.